

## Transannular Interactions in the Chlorination of *cis,cis*-Cyclo-octa-1,5-diene and *cis*-Cyclo-octene with $\text{SbCl}_5$

By SAKAE UEMURA,\* AKIRA ONOE, and MASAYA OKANO

(*Institute for Chemical Research, Kyoto University, Uji, Kyoto, Japan*)


**Summary** The chlorinations of *cis,cis*-cyclo-octa-1,5-diene and *cis*-cyclo-octene with  $\text{SbCl}_5$  in  $\text{CCl}_4$  give *endo*- and *exo-2-anti-8*-dichlorobicyclo[3,2,1]octanes and *cis*- and *trans-1,4*-dichlorocyclo-octanes respectively in 70–80% yield.

It has recently been reported that  $\text{SbCl}_5$  is a good reagent for the *cis*-chlorination of simple olefins<sup>1,2</sup> and for the formation of *cis-1,4*-dichlorobut-2-ene from butadiene.<sup>2,3</sup> We now describe the unusual chlorinations of *cis,cis*-cyclo-octa-1,5-diene [1,5-COD] and *cis*-cyclo-octene with  $\text{SbCl}_5$ , both involving a transannular interaction.

When  $\text{SbCl}_5$  in  $\text{CCl}_4$  was slowly added to a  $\text{CCl}_4$  solution of 1,5-COD at  $-20^\circ$ , an isomeric mixture of *endo-2-anti-8*-dichlorobicyclo[3,2,1]octane (**1**) and *exo-2-anti-8*-dichlorobicyclo[3,2,1]octane (**2**) was obtained in 70% yield [(**1**): (**2**) = *ca.* 7:3 by g.l.c.] (Scheme 1). A mixture of (**1**) and

(**2**) (b.p.  $76-78^\circ$  at 4 Torr) was analysed as  $\text{C}_8\text{H}_{12}\text{Cl}_2$ , did not have any absorption due to olefinic protons in its i.r. and n.m.r. spectra, did not decolourize bromine in  $\text{CCl}_4$ , and was monodehydrochlorinated to bicyclo[3,2,1]oct-2-en-*anti-8*-yl chloride (**3**) by treatment with  $\text{Bu}^t\text{OK}$  in DMSO [**3**; b.p.  $78-81^\circ$  at 22 Torr,  $m/e$  142( $M^+$ ),  $\delta$  ( $\text{CCl}_4$ ) 1.2–2.8 (m, 8H), 4.23 (s, 1H), 5.2–6.0 (m, 2H)]. (**2**) was more readily dehydrochlorinated than (**1**) as expected from *E2* elimination,<sup>4</sup> since the chlorine, two carbons (C-2 and C-3) and the hydrogen on C-3 lie in a common plane in (**2**). By this procedure (**1**) was separated from (**2**) [**1**; b.p.  $123-124^\circ$  at 25 Torr,  $m/e$  178 ( $M^+$ ),  $\delta$  ( $\text{CCl}_4$ ) 1.2–2.7 (m, 10H), 3.85 (s, 1H), 3.85–4.1 (m, 1H)]. A sharp singlet at  $\delta$  3.85 in (**1**) and at  $\delta$  4.23 in (**3**) could be assigned to a *syn*-hydrogen at C-8 the absorption being very similar to that of *endo-2*-methoxymethylbicyclo[3,2,1]oct-*anti-8*-yl chloride<sup>5</sup> [ $\delta$  ( $\text{CCl}_4$ ) 3.94, singlet]. Additional proof for the structure


of (3) was obtained by its reaction with silver acetate in acetic acid which gave *exo-cis*-bicyclo[3,3,0]oct-7-en-2-yl acetate (4) [b.p. 101–102° at 18 Torr, *m/e* 166 (*M*<sup>+</sup>), lit.<sup>6</sup> b.p. 69–73° at 5 Torr]. LeBel and Spurlock<sup>7</sup> have reported that (4) was formed by the acetolysis of the *p*-toluenesulphonate analogue of (3).



The transannular cyclization of 1,5-COD usually gives bicyclo[3,3,0]octane derivatives. The formation of bicyclo[3,2,1]octane derivatives has been reported only in the case of the reaction with  $\text{MeOCH}_2\text{Y}$  ( $\text{Y} = \text{OAc}$ ,  $\text{Cl}$ , and  $\text{OMe}$ ), and even in this case the main products were bicyclo[3,3,0]octane derivatives.<sup>5</sup> Although the exact pathway for the formation of (1) and (2) is not yet clear, one possibility is that a mixture of *endo*-2,6- and *endo,exo*-2,6-dichlorobicyclo[3,3,0]octanes (6) is formed at first through the cation (5) (*endo*-Cl) and then isomerized rapidly to a mixture of (1) and (2) by  $\text{SbCl}_5$  catalyst through the cation (7) (*exo*- and *endo*-Cl) (Scheme 2). We have already shown that  $\text{SbCl}_5$  is a very effective catalyst for such isomerization between the isomeric dichloronorbornanes.<sup>8</sup>

The chlorinations of 1,5-COD with other chlorinating agents such as  $\text{PCl}_5$ ,  $\text{SO}_2\text{Cl}_2$ ,  $\text{PhICl}_2$ ,  $\text{CuCl}_2$ ,  $\text{SeCl}_4$ ,  $\text{MoCl}_5$ ,

and  $\text{PbCl}_4$  gave a mixture of *cis*- and *trans*-5,6-dichlorocyclo-octenes and none of (1) and (2).



Application of this chlorination to *cis*-cyclo-octene at  $-30^\circ$  resulted in the preferable formation of an isomeric mixture of 1,4-dichlorocyclo-octanes (78% yield; *cis:trans* = 93:7; b.p. 115–118° at 8 Torr, lit.<sup>9</sup> b.p. 116–119° at 10 Torr) together with a 3% yield of the *cis*-1,2-isomer. It was confirmed that no interconversion occurred between the 1,2- and 1,4-isomers and also between the *cis*- and *trans*-1,4-isomers under the present conditions. The reaction apparently involves a transannular 1,5-hydrogen shift and the fact that the selectivity for the *cis*-1,4-isomer is quite high may be explained by assuming the presence of the hydrogen-bridged chlorocyclo-octyl cation intermediate, almost the same as proposed in the formolysis of *cis*-cyclo-octene oxide.<sup>10</sup> 1,4-Chlorination has also been reported in the reaction with  $\text{PbCl}_4$ <sup>9</sup> and we found that it also occurred with  $\text{PhICl}_2$ ,  $\text{VCl}_4$  and  $\text{SeCl}_4$  although the selectivity and yield was low compared to  $\text{SbCl}_5$  and  $\text{PbCl}_4$ . Chlorinations with  $\text{CuCl}_2$ ,  $\text{PCl}_5$ , and  $\text{SO}_2\text{Cl}_2$  gave only the 1,2-isomer.

(Received, 30th December 1974; Com. 1576.)

<sup>1</sup> S. Uemura, O. Sasaki, and M. Okano, *Chem. Comm.*, 1971, 1064; F. Akiyama, T. Horie, and H. Matsuda, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan*, 1973, 46, 1888.

<sup>2</sup> S. Uemura, A. Onoe, and M. Okano, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan*, 1974, 47, 692.

<sup>3</sup> R. P. Vignes and J. Hamer, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1974, 39, 849.

<sup>4</sup> E. S. Gould in 'Mechanism and Structure in Organic Chemistry,' Holt, Rinehart, and Winston, New York, 1959, p. 484.

<sup>5</sup> I. Tabushi, K. Fujita, and R. Oda, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1970, 35, 2376.

<sup>6</sup> K. Fujita, K. Hata, R. Oda, and I. Tabushi, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1973, 38, 2640.

<sup>7</sup> N. A. LeBel and L. A. Spurlock, *Tetrahedron*, 1964, 20, 215.

<sup>8</sup> S. Uemura, A. Onoe, and M. Okano, submitted for publication.

<sup>9</sup> P. W. Henniger, L. J. Dukker, and E. Havinga, *Rec. Trav. chim.*, 1966, 85, 1177.

<sup>10</sup> Ref. 4, p. 600.